Part B: Making Your Representation

Q13 Please state the Main Modification reference number that your comment relates to

| MM 54 |

Q14 Do you consider the proposed Main Modification to be...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legally compliant?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positively Prepared?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justified?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent with National Policy?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q15 Please explain the reasons for your answer. Please be as precise as possible.

I support this addition to the main shelter area in the hope that it will promote the re-use of the Warehouse. My preferred solution would be the restoration of the external envelope of the main building and the Engine House as listed objects. This should really be financed by the City Council as they are "Buildings at Risk" and have been for many years.

I am concerned that the usual ' mixes "Visibility x Viability" will lead to getting bogged down in arguments as to "True Meaning".

The building described as an Engine Shed is not that at all. An Engine Shed houses locomotive engines between jobs. This building was an Engine House which contained a stationary steam engine to power the hydraulic pumps around the site.

A current problem with the Friar Gate Bridge is the usual one about "Aladdin's Reuse". Any bridge does not really fall on "Adaptation Reuse" - it falls of the dozen surrounding listed buildings. The major fall of the matter is that the structure just has to be maintained by costly with the law. It would be feasible to give it a use by scheduling a footpath/cycle track in for account. Then in row a problem on the Eastern side in this a block A study flat in Rand which could block gay path.
Q16 If relevant, please state the changes you consider necessary to make the Main Modification legally compliant and/or sound. Any revised wording of the policy or text would be helpful. Please be as precise as possible.

Amendment to the Policies Map

Q17 As a result of Proposed Main Modification 54 we are also asking for comments on an amendment to the Policies Map relating to Friar Gate Goods Yard. Please provide any comments on the change to the Policies Map below.

The boundary of the Goods Yard is strangely drawn at its Eastern end. It would be better if the whole bridge were in the area, and also a small area on the Eastern side to allow for a patch of some sort.
The Revised Sustainability Appraisal has been updated to include the appraisal of the Main Modifications. Please provide any comments on the Sustainability Appraisal below.

If you would like to comment on other Main Modifications please print pages 3, 4 and 5 and complete questions 13 - 18. Once completed, please attach to the main survey.

Appearance at the Hearings

Any issues raised on the Main Modifications will be considered as written representations by the Inspector. Further hearing sessions will only be scheduled in exceptional circumstances. However, please indicate whether you wish to appear at an examination hearing session if necessary.

Yes ................................................................. [✓]
No .................................................................

Thank you for taking the time to fill in this feedback form.

For further information, please contact the Spatial Planning Team at the address below, by email at derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk or by telephoning 01332 640807.

Please return your completed questionnaire by **5pm on Thursday 27 October**, either by email at derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk or posted to:
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