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1. Introduction

This Consultation Statement has been produced to fulfil the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. It has been published to accord with regulation 22(c) which requires a statement setting out:

i. which bodies and persons the local planning authority invited to make representations under regulation 18,

ii. how those bodies and persons were invited to make representations under regulation 18,

iii. a summary of the main issues raised by the representations made pursuant to regulation 18,

iv. how any representations made pursuant to regulation 18 have been taken into account;

v. if representations were made pursuant to regulation 20, the number of representations made and a summary of the main issues raised in those representations; and

vi. if no representations were made in regulation 20, that no such representations were made;

Regulation 18 specifies the consultation Local Planning Authorities must undertake in the preparation of the Local Plan before it can proceed to the publication of the pre-submission Local Plan. It states:

(1) A local planning authority must:

   (a) notify each of the bodies or persons specified in paragraph (2) of the subject of a local plan which the local planning authority propose to prepare, and

   (b) invite each of them to make representations to the local planning authority about what a local plan with that subject ought to contain.

(2) The bodies or persons referred to in paragraph (1) are:

   (a) such of the specific consultation bodies as the local planning authority consider may have an interest in the subject of the proposed local plan;

   (b) such of the general consultation bodies as the local planning authority consider appropriate; and

   (c) such residents or other persons carrying on business in the local planning authority’s area from which the local planning authority consider it appropriate to invite representations.

(3) In preparing the local plan, the local planning authority must take into account any representation made to them in response to invitations under paragraph (1).

1 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/767/contents/made
Regulation 20 relates to the representations regarding the Pre-Submission Local Plan consultation; it states:

1) Any person may make representations to a local planning authority about a local plan which the local planning authority propose to submit to the Secretary of State.

2) Any such representations must be received by the local planning authority by the date specified in the statement of the representations procedure.

3) Nothing in this regulation applies to representations taken to have been made as mentioned in section 24(7) of the Act.

When work commenced on the Local Plan, the relevant regulations were those produced in 2004 followed by updates in 2008 and 2009. These regulations were then replaced entirely by those published in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) regulations 2012.

This report of consultation is split into three volumes:

- **Volume 1** deals with the Pre-Submission consultation which started on 26 August 2015 and ended on 23 October 2015; a period of eight weeks.

- **Volume 2** deals with the remaining consultation exercises starting with the Issues and Ideas consultation and ending with the consultation on the Draft Core Strategy.

- **Volume 3** is a compendium of publicity material supporting the consultations contained in Volume 2.

### 2. Local Plan Consultation Stages

A number of consultations were undertaken as part of the Local Plan process. The following table indicates the key stages in the development of the Council’s Local Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Consultation Stage</th>
<th>Outline of content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday 26 August to Friday 23 October 2015</td>
<td>Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission</td>
<td>Undertaken in-line with the requirements of Regulation 19 and sought people’s view on the legality and soundness of the Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration: 8 weeks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday 25 October 2013 to Friday 20 December 2013</td>
<td>Derby City Local Plan Part 1: Draft Core Strategy</td>
<td>This consultation sought people’s views on the draft Core Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration: 8 weeks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 October to 21 December 2012</td>
<td>Preferred Growth Strategy</td>
<td>The consultation set out the aligned approach for the delivery of housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Consultation Stage</td>
<td>Outline of content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration: 12 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>across the Derby Urban Area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 July to 30 September 2011</td>
<td>Options for Housing Growth</td>
<td>The consultation document provided a number of scenarios for location of development across the Housing Market Area and various population projections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration: 11 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday 24 February to Monday 26 March 2012</td>
<td>The Three Topic Consultation</td>
<td>Presented a number of options which would help in the development of three topic areas – Retailing, City Centre parking and the provision of open space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration: 5 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 February to 31 May 2011</td>
<td>Your Neighbourhood</td>
<td>Prompted people to think about their neighbourhood and sought comments on Neighbourhood Overview Summaries and the Townscape Character Assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration: 15 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 15 January to 28 May 2010 | Core Strategy Options Paper | The public's views were sought on the following subjects:  
  • The Spatial Portrait of Derby  
  • The key challenges and issues for the Core Strategy  
  • The emerging Housing Market Area spatial vision  
  • The emerging spatial vision for Derby City  
  • Options for where new development could go  
  • Topic based options |
| Duration: 20 weeks       |                    |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 2 March to 31 May 2009   | Issues and Ideas   | The document set out our thoughts on the issues that the Core Strategy needed to address. Sought comments on these issues, welcomed any new ones and welcomed people’s ideas on how they might be addressed. |

This document however provides a summary of the Pre-Submission consultation carried out by the Council between Wednesday 26 August and Friday 23 October 2015.
3. Statement of Community Involvement

The Council's Statement of Community Involvement\(^2\) (SCI) was adopted in January 2007. It sets out how the Council will engage with the community and stakeholders in the preparation of the Local Plan and as part of the Development Management process.

The SCI proposes a number of consultation approaches to ensure that as many people as possible are involved in the preparation of the Local Plan and the Council has ensured that each consultation meets the SCI; in some cases the consultation undertaken exceeded the requirements of the SCI.

Appendix 1 contains a matrix which indicates how the consultation met the requirements of the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.

4. How was the Consultation Carried Out?

Before submitting the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission to the Secretary of State, the Council undertook a final consultation in-line with regulation 19\(^3\) of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The consultation ran for eight weeks, starting on Wednesday 26 August 2015 and ending at 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

In total 80 respondents made 541 comments about the Local Plan and the Proposed Changes to the Proposals Map document.

The following is a brief description of the methods used to engage with interested parties during the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission consultation. Appendix 2 contain the publicity material produced, press releases, social media and media articles relating to each consultation the Council undertook as part of the Core Strategy process.

- **Correspondence to interested parties**

  Before the consultation started a letter or email to everyone on our LDF consultation database. The database contains the contact details of the specific consultation bodies, businesses and members of the local community. The Statement of Representation Procedure and a summary document explaining the Legal Compliance and test of Soundness was included to assist respondents.

---


\(^3\) [http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/767/regulation/19/made](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/767/regulation/19/made)
A follow-up letter was sent out to statutory bodies and key organisations a fortnight into the consultation.

A further email or letter was sent out to everyone on the LDF database on the 25 September 2015 informing people that three weeks were remaining in which to submit representations.

At the start of the consultation an email was sent to the three local MP’s representing the areas affected. A follow-up letter which included a copy of the Core Strategy was sent shortly afterwards.

The consultation was also publicised by utilising the City Council’s Neighbourhood Partnership’s and the Council’s Diversity Forums.

The consultation was publicised through the Council’s ‘Your City, Your Say’. This on-line application lists every consultation the Council is undertaking, a brief outline of the consultation and the contact details of an Officer involved.

An e-shot (What’s Happening in Derby), with a distribution list of 2,300 interested parties, was sent out by the Council’s Corporate Communications Team at the beginning of October 2015. Anyone can sign up to receive the e-shot and, currently, the mailing list is made up of residents and businesses in Derby, people living outside of the City and members of staff. The document included an article about the consultation.

- **Internal Publicity**
  Emails were sent to Chief Officers and Councillors informing them that the consultation had started and the availability of consultation documents.

  The consultation was publicised through the City Council’s employee “In-Touch” e-shot. An article publicising the consultation was included in the e-shot on Tuesday 1 September 2015, Monday 21 September and Monday 28 September.

  The internal-facing AV screens were used for the duration of the consultation, reminding staff that the consultation was underway.

- **Statutory Notices, Press releases and press articles**
  A Public Notice was published in the Derby Evening Telegraph on Wednesday 26 August 2015 and Thursday 10 September 2015.

  A press release was issued at the start of the consultation on 25 August 2015.

  A press release was issued prior to the drop-in event on 23 September 2015.

- **Local Media**
  Following the issue of the Press Release an interview, Radio Derby conducted an interview with Councillor Martin Rawson, the Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration.
• **Posters**  
Posters were displayed in local libraries and sent to the Neighbourhood Partnership Managers who distributed them through their network.

The external, public facing AV screens were used for the duration of the consultation.

• **Deposit documents**  
Documents were made available on the Council’s website, in local libraries and at the Council House Reception. Printed copies were also sent out to anyone who requested a document.

• **Website**  
The Council’s homepage ([www.derby.gov.uk](http://www.derby.gov.uk)) contained a link to the specific pre-submission consultation webpage ([www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult](http://www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult)) for the duration of the consultation.

• **Social Media**  
The Council utilised both Facebook and Twitter throughout the consultation, publicising key dates and, in particular the drop-in event.

• **Drop-in event**  
A drop-in event was held during the consultation at the Riverside Chambers, Room 14, Full Street, Derby on Thursday 24 September 2015 between 10:00am and 7:00pm. This gave members of the public an opportunity to discuss the consultation and the subsequent process in the run-up to adopting the Core Strategy with officers.

• **Third Party Events**  
An event was organised by the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) focussing on the Pre-Submission Core Strategy. The event was run on Thursday 8 October at the Riverside Chambers, Derby.

The bodies and persons who were invited to make representations are listed below. These include Statutory Bodies and all organisations and persons who made representations in respect of previous consultation exercises and/or those whose details were collected when previous public consultation exercises took place by entering their details on attendance sheets.

Appendix 1 contains the bodies, organisations and people were contacted individually by email or letter.

5. **Summary of representations made pursuant to regulation 19 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012**

The following section contains a brief summary of the main points raised through the consultation on a chapter by chapter and policy by policy basis. To ensure compliance with regulation 22 (1)(c) of The Town and Country Planning (Local
Development) (England) regulations 2012, this report will indicate how many people made a response to a specific policy and the number of responses made; it will also show where no comments were received by the Council.

A more detailed schedule of the responses the Council received, a response to the points raised and any subsequent modification to the Core Strategy can be found in Appendix 4, a separate document to this report. It should be noted that, where respondents did not indicate why the Plan did not meet the Tests of Soundness, the Council interpreted the comments submitted and applied what it considered the most appropriate ‘test’ – this assists with the overall assessment of the representations.

**General/Miscellaneous Comments (Plan wide)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The plan is considered to be sound
- It is considered that the Duty to Cooperate has been met
- Support for the amendments made to the plan following the draft plan consultation
- It is considered that the plan has been positively prepared and is based on robust and credible evidence
- Concerns were raised about the viability work undertaken by the Council
- The impact of South Derbyshire’s decision to locate new development adjacent to Mickleover indicates that the plan is not consistent with national policy and effective.
- South Derbyshire’s decision to locate new development adjacent to Mickleover indicates that the Duty to Cooperate has not been met.

**1. Introduction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The plan is considered to be legally compliant

**2. Derby in Context**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Supports the recognition that there is significant congestion and this has led to the designation of a number of Air Quality Management Areas.
• Supports the recognition that there are a number of historic houses in important parks to the west of the City
• The sections on climate change, the economy and the natural, built and historic environment are supported as they highlight opportunities for positive planning
• It is misleading to state that much of the greenfield land within the City is currently protected as Green Belt, Green Wedge or public open space as it implies that they all have the same status
• Care must be taken that the text in Chapter 2 does not drive the policy framework
• Concerns that Green Wedges should remain fixed in their extent when the Council is trying to achieve a sustainable pattern of development
• Figure 3 should show Erewash Borough Council to show the interrelationship between the Derby and Nottingham HMA’s

3. What will Derby be Like by 2028?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The plan is consistent with the NPPF, national planning guidance and the Derby Plan
• The plan has been positively prepared; based on robust and credible evidence
• Spatial objectives 7, 8, 9, 11 & 12 were welcomed and supported
• Support for the Council’s commitment to protect and enhance attractive landscapes and historic settings
• Supports the recognition of the River Derwent as a Blue Corridor but recommends that importance of the river as a biodiversity resource, and the work to meet the Water Framework Directive is recognised
• Reference to Lifetime Homes in Spatial Objective 6 should be removed
• To comply with the NPPF, the section identifies that the City has a commitment to developing an ecological network
• Paragraph 3.6 should include the names of the BID areas in full
• The principle of Green Wedges is too restrictive and therefore inconsistent with the NPPF; there is a need to balance their continuation with the need for development
• The bulk of the text in this section is not a Vision but more of a prelude to the plan
### 4. The Strategy for Derby

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The strategy is broadly supported and is consistent with the NPPF
- The strategy for housing, employment, retail and leisure, Green Belt and transport is based on a comprehensive and extensive evidence base
- The broad scale and distribution of housing set out in this section is supported
- Consideration should be given to allocating more sites and should be mindful of maximising supply by using a broad range of sites to meet its five-year supply
- It is considered that the Green Wedge policy should not constrain the Council’s ability to meet its objectively assessed housing need
- The Green Wedge review should have been robustly undertaken
- It is considered that the Objectively Assessed Housing Need is to pessimistic which will not significantly boost housing supply over the plan period
- It is not certain that the Council can continue to maintain its land supply in the Part 2 plan
- It is considered that Newhouse Farm is capable of meeting Derby’s unmet need
- It is considered that Derby’s unmet housing need may be greater than 5,388 dwellings
- The Site Summary Compendium is not a full assessment of site and some, such as the Derwent Triangle have been discounted without a clear assessment
- The plan is not consistent with the NPPF as it only has a 12 year timeframe
- The plan should incorporate the necessary infrastructure to support future housing
- Concerned that the housing strategy will prioritise brownfield regeneration which is against the NPPF
- It would be logical to locate more housing to the north east of the City to provide a better relationship with the employment sites at the Derwent Triangle and Spondon

### Overall Strategy and Approach of the Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The plan is considered to be positively prepared and is based on robust and credible evidence
• The Council should have carried out a thorough search for sites to identify the maximum capacity to meet its own needs
• The plan is not consistent with the NPPF as it only has a 12 year timeframe
• It is highlighted that the Council’s approach in producing two Local Plans is inconsistent with the governments requirements
• It is unacceptable that development for homes and industry is taking place in the floodplain
• It would be logical to locate more housing to the north east of the City to provide a better relationship with the employment sites at the Derwent Triangle and Spondon
• Concerns about the amount of greenfield sites allocated in the plan
• Considered that the plan does not meet its objectively assessed need
• Concerned that there is a reliance on Amber Valley and South Derbyshire in meeting the City’s housing need; further exploration is needed to see if derby can accommodate more
• Opportunities available to the east of the City to meet its housing need have not been explored
• There is no acknowledgement that the concept of viability goes wider than affordable housing and Section 106; should take account of mix of uses, phasing, scale of development and complementary ‘enabling’ development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Omission Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Objections to the continued allocation of Chaddesden Sidings as Green Wedge
• A masterplan for a development off Moorway Lane was submitted
• There is a need for additional small sites to be allocated to ensure the Council can demonstrate a five year supply
• The Core Strategy should include a policy for telecommunications
• A site to the north of Mansfield Road has submitted (this was identified in the Preferred Growth Strategy)
• The Green Wedge Study should be reviewed as a site at Rough Heanor Farm is now available
**CP1(a): Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development**

- Number of Respondents: 4
- Number of Objections: 2
- Number of General Comments: 0
- Number of Supports: 2

- The policy needs to make clear that the Council will work positively with landowners and communities
- The aims of the policy is generally supported but there needs to be recognition of issues of deliverability and viability

**CP1(b): Placemaking Principles for Cross Boundary Growth**

- Number of Respondents: 9
- Number of Objections: 4
- Number of General Comments: 1
- Number of Supports: 5

- Applications on sites situated in neighbouring authorities should be assessed on their Local Plan policy rather than this one
- It is considered that the intention to allocate the site at Mansfield Road (AC26) is contrary to this policy
- The policy does not identify specific sites or point towards specific policies in the Amber Valley or South Derbyshire Local Plans

**CP2: Responding to Climate Change**

- Number of Respondents: 9
- Number of Objections: 9
- Number of General Comments: 3
- Number of Supports: 0

- Although generally supporting the policy, it was considered that:
  - criterion (e) could be interpreted as watering down the requirements of the NPPF
  - the policy introduced unnecessary red tape
  - it is not consistent with national policy as it requires developers to consider the use of allowable solutions for off-site carbon reduction
  - the policy could have impacts on deliverability and viability
- The policy is unduly lengthy, complex and prescriptive
- There is no evidence to support the requirement to build houses and employment uses to be raised on plinths

**CP3: Placemaking Principles**

- Number of Respondents: 8
- Number of Objections: 12
- Number of General Comments: 2
- Number of Supports: 2

- The principle of installing sprinklers is both supported and objected to
• The requirement in criterion (j) should be reconsidered as it is contrary to the NPPF and the CIL Regulations
• Although supporting the policy, it is considered that the policy does not reflect or acknowledge that developments can be affected by fluctuations in the economy
• Criteria (g), should be expanded to include reference to the avoidance, mitigation and, as a last resort, compensation as identified in the NPPF
• Maximising low carbon and decentralised energy may not always be feasible
• Although generally supported, it is highlighted that the policy makes little or no mention of the importance of deliverability and viability

**CP4: Character and Context**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The principles of the policy are welcomed although one respondent raised concerns about the way in which it could be interpreted.

**CP5: Regeneration of Communities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Although supported the respondent question the exact meaning of ‘proposals that may prejudice the regeneration of the above locations will be resisted’

**CP6: Housing Delivery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The desire to encourage the re-use of vacant properties and empty homes is welcomed
• The inclusion of a windfall allowance is welcomed and is consistent with the NPPF
• Encouraged to see that the Council is seeking opportunities to meet its OAN and is engaging with its neighbours
• The Council should provide substantive evidence of consultation with landowners, promoters and developers to justify criterion (f)
• With regard to the 5-year housing land supply:
  o the 5-year supply is incorrectly calculated and that a 20% buffer should be included
  o agrees with the methodology but disagrees with applying a 20% buffer
- the application of lapse rates on sites with planning permission is unclear
- if the lapse rates and lead-in times are not applied, the 5-year supply reduces to less than 5.5 years and possibly under 5
- the Council will struggle to demonstrate and maintain a 5-year supply

- It is considered that the target of 11,000 dwellings may not be achieved
- Considered that the estimated completions (2015-2016) component of the land supply is not justified and there is no guarantee that they will be delivered
- There is a lack of evidence of how the 1,294 dwellings will be provided in the Part 2 plan
- Concerned that the Council is reliant on strategic sites that have high up-front infrastructure costs
- Considered that smaller sites should be included in the plan which are capable of early delivery
- Table 1 does not demonstrate flexibility in terms of housing numbers; every plot on every site will need to be completed by 2028
- When opportunities for housing on mixed use sites arise, more emphasis should be placed on housing being brought forward
- The housing trajectory is too optimistic in light of recent completion figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CP7: Affordable and Specialist Housing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Welcomes the Council’s flexible approach in seeking to deliver as much of the City’s housing needs as is viable without unduly constraining delivery
- Welcomes the Council’s recognition that the impact affordable and specialist housing can have on the level of funding for infrastructure.
- Reference to Lifetime Homes is out-of-date
- The Government has changed the way that housing standards can be required through planning policy and the Core Strategy is currently not compliant
- In response to the South Derbyshire examination, it is considered that further viability work is required to support the policy requirement of 30% affordable housing
- Unclear on how ‘clawback’ will work in practice
- The plan does not make clear how smaller sized market dwellings might be encouraged
- It is considered that the policy may be affected by future changes in legislation proposed in the Housing and Planning Bill regarding Starter Homes
**CP8: Gypsies and Travellers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- It is considered that the policy is consistent with national policy
- The policy could be strengthened by stating that Gypsy and Traveller sites represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt
- The policy needs updating to reflect the publication of the revised Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment
- Objects to criterion (b) which refers to the granting of planning permission being subject to “evidence of need”

**CP9: Delivering a Sustainable Economy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Questions the overall strategy of pursuing an employment target over and above recent trends, particularly when evidence suggests that the majority of commercial development is unviable
- Although supporting the scheme, it is considered that the policy needs to acknowledge that the viability of proposals will be taken into account

**CP10: Employment Locations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- It is suggested that the total employment allocation identified in the policy would exceed the LSPO and would accord with the principles set out in the NPPF to boost economic growth
- It is considered that the policy is too prohibitive to allow the release of land from the existing employment land supply

**CP11: Employment Locations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- An objection was received because Friar Gate Goods Yard was not included within the defined Central Business District
- The policy should recognise that there are different types of office development which are suitable for different locations in the City
### CP12: Centres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The identification of a shopping hierarchy is supported
- An objection was received because Friar Gate Goods Yard was not included within the ‘Core Area’ and the defined Central Business District

### CP13: Retail and Leisure Outside of Defined Centres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The approach set out in the policy is supported
- Criterion (c) and (d) should be consolidated to ensure that proposals do not cause ‘significant adverse impacts’, bringing it in-line with the NPPF
- The supporting text in paragraph 5.13.6 should be amended to bring it into accord with the NPPF
- The policy should, to comply with the NPPF, recognise that retail uses can be crucial to the regeneration of development sites
- It is considered that there is insufficient evidence to support the policy which suggests that comparison needs are likely to be of a scale that can be met within centres

### CP14: Tourism, Culture and Leisure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The policy should state that the Council will resist the loss of cultural facilities as set out in Policy CP21 and the NPPF
- The policy should reflect the NPPF by recognising that leisure uses can be crucial to the regeneration of development sites

### CP15: Food, Drink and the Evening Economy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Suggested that further work is undertaken to ensure that the policy reflects the Council’s desire to improve the health and well-being of Derby’s citizens’ i.e. tackling obesity and the proliferation of takeaways
**CP16: Green Infrastructure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- It is considered that the policy reflect the guidance in the NPPF
- Supports the Council’s commitment to retain the principle of the Nottingham/Derby Green Belt but objects as there is not a specific policy
- The policy should consider the wider context for the River Derwent and the countryside to the south and east of the urban fringe and embrace the Trent valley Vision and Strategy
- The policy is not supported by a robust evidence base
- There is a need to review Green Wedge boundaries

**CP17: Public Green Space**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The policy is not supported by a robust evidence base in respect of outdoor sport and recreation
- There should be a specific policy for allotments
- Concerned that there is a conflict in the plan which seeks to protect and enhance green space but is releasing greenfield land for development

**CP18: Green Wedges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- It is considered that, as there are similarities between the policy and the ‘saved’ Green Belt policy in the City of Derby Local Plan Review. It is suggested that the Green Wedge policy should, therefore, be consistent with the Green Belt guidance in the NPPF
- Consideration should be given to reviewing the Green Wedge boundary at Chaddesden Sidings
- Given the lack of a five-year supply it is suggested that a further review of the City’s Green Wedges is undertaken
- The policy is not consistent with the NPPF, paragraph 143
- The release of Green Wedges for housing is not consistent with the stated aims of the plan to protect and enhance the natural environment
CP19: Biodiversity

- Strong support for the policy and reasoned justification as it takes a positive approach to the protection of biodiversity and geodiversity assets; particularly welcomes aspiration to achieve net gain in biodiversity and coherent ecological network; policy accords with NPPF para.117
- Amendments made to policy in draft plan noted and welcomed

CP20: Historic Environment

- Suggested the Plan is sound and legally compliant; appears to have been prepared with regard to Derby Plan, NPPG and NPPF
- Broad support for the policy was offered
- Support for the protection of heritage assets outside the city boundary; suggested supporting text be modified to confirm requirements of NPPF will continue to be applied
- Support for recognition that the historic environment is one of Derby's greatest resources and should be protected; particular support for proposals which would detrimentally impact on heritage asset will be resisted
- Policy not sound; criteria c and f are not consistent with the NPPF, specifically in relation to reference to 'setting' of heritage assets; suggested wording that asset should only be protected where it contributes to 'significance' of the asset
- Policy is inconsistent with the NPPF as it advises that proposals that detrimentally impact on heritage assets 'will be resisted'; suggested this approach does not provide for balancing exercise required by NPPF

CP21 Community Facilities

- The approach taken to improving and increasing school provision is strongly welcomed
- The policy is not underpinned by a robust and up to date assessment of needs
- Support for the policy which seeks to deliver, protect and maintain high quality, accessible and inclusive facilities
• There needs to be serious consideration in planning for infrastructure and access regarding the Royal Derby Hospital due to number of houses to be built over the next twenty years

• Acknowledgement that developers are required to support and help fund specific infrastructure where essential to make the proposal acceptable, should not be a general requirement for a developer to provide contributions where not related to the development or disproportionate in scale; provision of school places and health and community facilities given as key examples

**CP22: Higher and Further Education**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• No representations were received

**CP23: Delivering a Sustainable Transport Network**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• There is little recognition of Derby's importance as a Cycling City; concern that the Core Strategy does not identify the strategic network of off-road paths and trails; would be helpful if a map was included showing existing and proposed routes and links to road and public transport network

• Policy is supported; support comments made in respect of railway safety

• Suggested that criterion 12 is unsound as it does not incorporate part of City of Derby Local Plan Review Policy T8 relating to buses

• There should be a cross reference to Travel Plans as it is considered that a site that might appear to require an above average level of parking could require less with a suitable Travel Plan

• Point (a) 2 is not properly prepared as it is incomprehensible, alternative wording suggested

• The policy is considered to have been watered down

• Support for the general strategy of the plan which seeks to minimise the impacts on existing transport infrastructure via a range of measures set out in the policy

• Not in the public interest that the Council shows an anti-car attitude

• Broad support for the initiatives being proposed, especially the principle of locating sites next to transport corridors where feasible

• Any contribution to public transport should only be in circumstances where there is a clear deficiency in transport provision
### CP24 Strategic Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Support for general aims of this Core Principle, but concerns that landholding may be blighted by proposals for A52/Wyvern scheme
- Masterplan for land allocated in the area has had regard to and provided for delivery of part of South Derby Integrated Transport Link
- There is no sign of Park and Ride at Royal derby Hospital coming forward; support for scheme; if not deliverable the scheme should be deleted and alternative site proposed
- Respondent does not agree that the construction of the South Derby Integrated Transport Link has been fully justified
- References to A38 Pinch Point works should be deleted as these works were completed in 2014
- Range of strategic improvements supported, due to alleviation of some of the additional pressure on routes in a neighbouring borough
- The proposed schemes would not alleviate problems in Mickleover; tram system suggested
- Concern that the policy as worded suggests that the South Derby Integrated Transport Link is subject to further investigation
- The supporting text to the policy should refer to the Strategic Distribution Site Assessment Study for the Three Cities Sub-Area of the East Midlands
- An application has been made to remodel the junction of the A516 and A38 to create accesses to a potential development site; it should be indicated on the Proposals Map

### AC1: City Centre Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The former Friar Gate Goods Yard site should be included within the Core Area
- Need to identify replacement cultural facilities through redevelopment of Hippodrome and Assembly Rooms sites
- Need to identify former Friar Gate Goods Yard site for housing
### AC2: Delivering a City Centre Renaissance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Need for more flexibility in relation to the St James' Yard site
- The former Friar Gate Goods Yard site should be included within the Core Area and Central Business District
- Reference to the Market Place and Assembly Rooms should be made in relation to the Cathedral Quarter
- CBD should be considered as appropriate for housing

### AC3: Frontages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No comments received

### AC4: City Centre Transport and Accessibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No comments received

### AC5: City Centre Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Support for the commitment to protect the setting of heritage assets
- Concerns that improvements to public realm will need to be at developers expense
- No need to show proposed public realm improvements within intu Shopping Centre

### AC6: Castleward and the Former DRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>Number of General Comments</th>
<th>Number of Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Policy should emphasise need for comprehensive masterplan for the DRI site
- Policy should be more overt about extant retail permission and provide greater flexibility
• Support for the principle to develop this area
• Need for policy to make reference to viability
• Need for more flexible approach in relation to the Siddals Road / Traffic Street area

**AC7: The River Derwent Corridor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Support for the policy, particularly the identification of the sustainable transport potential of the corridor

**AC8: Our City Our River**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Concerns that smaller development proposals could be required to contribute to the OCOR scheme in an inequitable way

**AC9: Derwent Valley Mills World Heritage Site**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Recognition that the policy appropriately recognises the Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) of the Derwent Valley Mills World Heritage Site
• Concerns that specific monitored views have not been identified
• Concerns that the policy does not appropriately reflect the ‘balancing exercise’ required by the NPPF in relation to weighing impacts on heritage assets against public benefits

**AC10: Darley Abbey Mills**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• No comments received
AC11: The Derwent Triangle, Chaddesden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Need for the policy to be more flexible in order to provide viability and address shortage in housing provision
- Support for strategic allocation and potential of site to deliver wider benefits

AC12: Derby Commercial Park, Raynesway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Policy should make reference to public transport provision
- Support for the strategic allocation
- Need for policy to acknowledge that landscape buffer comprises land already used for flood alleviation

AC13: Former Celanese Acetate Site, Spondon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Support for requirement to take account of level crossing when developing access solutions
- Need for development to secure improvements to Spondon railway station
- References to low carbon and renewable technologies unnecessary
- Concerns that supporting text is not consistent with policy as not as positive
- Support for identification as Regeneration Priority
- Need for policy requirements to be subject to viability and deliverability
- Site should be identified for housing development to relieve pressure on greenfield sites
- Concerns that site cannot be developed until 2028

AC14: Osmaston Regeneration Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No responses were received for this policy.
AC15 Land South of Wilmore Road, Sinfin (Infinity Park)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Parts of the area covered by Policy AC15 are identified as Regionally Important Geological Sites (RIGS) and the NPPF highlights that they need protection.
- The policy should ensure that the Council and Developers are pro-active in providing public transport.
- Policy AC15 should ensure that the masterplan for the site has regard to the need for the SDITL link road to be completed.
- South Derbyshire District Council has agreed that the potential extension land in South Derbyshire is now an allocation and not just safeguarded.

AC16: Derby Aerospace Campus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No responses were received for this policy.

AC17: Sinfin Lane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No responses were received for this policy.

AC18: Wragley Way

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Wragley Way is a sustainable location and the policy reflects a joint position statement between Highways England and other partners about the potential of a new junction on the A50.
- The allocation of land at Wragley Way does not properly consider the needs which will arise for school resources, facilities and infrastructure.
- The policy should make a firmer commitment to the delivery of the Southern Derby Integrated Transport Link (SDITL) Phase 1.
AC19: Manor Kingsway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The wording of criterion d is not clear and it is unclear how signalisation of the A38/A5111 relates to Highways England’s grade separation proposals.
- The most sustainable and practical use for the land which is allocated for employment use at Manor Kingsway would be for more homes.

AC20: Rykneld Road

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- There is no market demand for B1 development of the scale envisaged by the policy on this site.
- The employment component of the allocation should be removed and replaced with an allocation for circa 80-100 homes.
- The component of the land owned by the Poyser family could be developed independently of the remainder of the allocation with a separate access to Rykneld Road.

Mickleover General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Development on the edge of Mickleover is contrary to national policy which encourages the use of brownfield land
- Development in the area will have an adverse impact on traffic and services

AC21: Hackwood Farm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Number of Objections</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The policy does not adequately protect the green infrastructure/biodiversity as it requires access arrangements which could damage this infrastructure.
- The policy should only require contributions towards secondary school places where there is insufficient capacity to accommodate the development in existing schools.
• The policy should require that developers to contribute towards a new bridge across the Mickleover/Egginton Greenway as it is agreed that the bridge would most appropriately be delivered by the Council.
• The policy is broadly supported by the developers who control the site.
• The Preferred Growth Strategy indicated that the development was likely to lead to a car dependent development. This would not meet the requirements of points 7 and 12 of policy CP23.
• The development of the site would cause a loss of the leisure and recreational offer which the land has. Green spaces and wildlife corridors should be incorporated into the site.
• The development would mean the loss of Green Wedge.
• The Hackwood Farm site was recently refused planning permission by the Council’s Planning Committee due to accessibility and poor transport links. A development of this size would require new road infrastructure.
• The relevant and required supporting infrastructure will not be provided. In particular, highway, GP and retail infrastructure.
• Existing traffic problems on Station Road and Radbourne Lane will be exacerbated.
• On other sites in Mickleover, hedgerows have been removed to deliver development where they should not have been.
• Good quality agricultural land on this site should not be lost to housing.

**AC22: Mickleover and Mackworth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The criterion and supporting text which require development to take account of impacts on the Mickleover Meadows Local Wildlife Site and consider impacts adjacent to the site are welcomed.
• Text which identifies habitat potential and requires appropriate surveys and compensation is welcomed.
• There is a low pressure gas pipe on the Mackworth College site.
• There should be no restriction on the Onslow Road site being developed before the new primary school at Hackwood Farm is provided.
• The site boundary as drawn for the Onslow Road site does not relate to any feature on the ground.
• The site at Onslow Road could be released without compromising the function of the Green Wedge.
• The combined traffic impacts of the Onslow Road site and the Hackwood Farm allocation have not been assessed. Development west of the A38 should be put on hold until the grade separation at Markeaton is complete.
• The loss of the green wedge to both proposals included in policy AC22 opposed. The gap between Mickleover and Mackworth will be narrowed.
• The relevant and required supporting infrastructure will not be provided. In particular, highway, GP and retail infrastructure.
• Existing traffic problems on Station Road and Radbourne Lane will be exacerbated.
• Building houses on the Onslow Road site will be detrimental to the occupiers of properties as well as wildlife. Existing residents will lose open space at the end of their gardens.
• The development of the Onslow Road site will result in traffic management problems on Station Road and Radbourne Lane and will not deliver the required school and GP infrastructure. The high levels of traffic on Onslow Road will be exacerbated.
• Hedgerows will be destroyed due to the development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AC23: Boulton Moor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The criteria to improve access to Elvaston Castle and protecting the registered historic park and garden are welcomed.
• The provision of a new Secondary School in the area is fully supported.
• The comprehensive cross boundary flood mitigation should address the fluvial and surface water issues relating to Thulston Brook that arise from the development only.
• The principle of a comprehensive cross boundary masterplan is supported however the requirement for submission of a phasing and delivery plan across the wider site to be submitted with a planning application is not justified..
• The policy to provide pedestrian and cycle links through the site and opening up the wedge to walking and cycling is supported but the requirement for links with Elvaston Castle is opposed as this involves land in numerous ownerships outside the control of the developer.
• The Plan should define what ‘strategic landscape boundaries’ are as they are referenced in the policy.
• The word ‘significant’ is open to debate in criterion c.
• Criterion g should be amended to ensure that any package of sustainable transport measures is appropriate and would not result in a threat to viability.
• Criterion f of the policy requires appropriate shopping and community facilities to be provided on the site in Derby. However, a new community hub is included in the SDDC element of the site and this should serve the 800 dwelling element in Derby.
• The flexibility of the policy to provide ‘approximately’ 200 dwellings and approximately 800 dwellings on the Fellow Lands Way and Boulton Moor sites is welcomed.

• Optimising development densities leads to increased congestion and poorly designed layouts.

• The policy is broadly supported and in particular the emphasis given to walking and cycling links through the urban extensions.

• Links through the urban extension should provide public transport services and the main links for all vehicular movement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AC24: South of Chellaston</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The Woodlands Lane site lies adjacent to a scheduled monument but there is no reference to this in the policy. There is also potential for non-designated archaeology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AC25: Brook Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The approach to the allocation of the Brook farm site is supported and in particular the criteria which seek to protect the Green Belt beyond the site through landscaping and design etc.

• Criterion f of the policy should be removed as an access onto Acorn Way would be acceptable.

• The allocation of the site is supported by the company that controls the land.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AC26: Land South of Mansfield Road, Oakwood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The assessments for the site are not objective, do not take into account unmet need in neighbouring authorities and do not consider impact on Green wedge, services or infrastructure.

• The Duty to Co-operate has not been met because the Parish Council has not been informed of the allocation.

• The fact that the policy recognises the sensitivity of nearby Green Belt and requires a green corridor is supported.
• The allocation should be extended into the Green Wedge
• ‘Where appropriate’ should be included at the end of criterion i.
• The allocation is sustainable and deliverable and is supported.
• The policy should be amended to reflect the application for 250 dwellings and the application boundary.
• The allocation should be removed as the land is the only bit of arable farm land to the north of the City would affect wildlife and have a detrimental effect on Chaddesden Wood.
• The development of the site would cause flooding, particularly in Breadsall.
• There is a covenant on the land which says that development should not be seen from Allestree.
• A wildlife corridor is indicated which is not part of the development.
• Mansfield Road cannot cope with the traffic at peak times.
• Assurances should be made that there will be no future loss of green wedge.

MH1: Making it Happen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Objections</th>
<th>Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Confirmation is sought that the policy remains valid after the introduction of S106 pooling restrictions in April 2015
• The policy needs to be supported by a robust evidence base
• Although welcoming the policy it is considered that it contains Lifetime Homes and Public Art
• Objects to the inclusion of ‘Clawback’

Monitoring How We Are Doing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Objections</th>
<th>Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• It is considered that site allocations should be monitored as to why they are not coming forward rather than the quantum of units and floorspace

Appendix A: Status of City of Derby Local Plan Policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Objections</th>
<th>Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• No comments received at Pre-Submission
Appendix B: Housing Trajectory (2011-2028)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Housing trajectory appears unrealistic in terms of delivery

Appendix C: Parking Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No comments received at Pre-Submission

Appendix D: Open Space Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No comments received at Pre-Submission

Appendix E: Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No comments received at Pre-Submission

Pre-Submission Policy Map Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Objects to Map 32 and highlights that there is an inconsistency between the City of Derby Local Plan Review Proposals Map and the Map 32 key.
- Objects to the amendment highlighted in Map 41 as it introduces an allocation that was not previously included in any stages of the plan preparation process

Pre-Submission Sustainability Appraisal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Objections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The findings of the Sustainability Appraisal should be reviewed as it is considered that its findings are based on the Green Wedge Review which is thought to be out-of-date
• It is considered that further work is needed to rectify the anomalies regarding the joint distribution strategy agreed with Amber Valley

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Submission Infrastructure Delivery Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of General Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Greater consideration needs to be given of how proposed allocations or income sources will contribute towards meeting identified infrastructure

• The infrastructure requirements of the Derwent Triangle was not fully explored
Appendix 1
The bodies, organisations and people informed of the consultation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bodies invited to make representations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 Cities Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73rd Allestree Derby (Allestree) Scout Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addleshaw &amp; Goddard Solicitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age UK Derby and Derbyshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allestree Preservation Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amber Valley Borough Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antony Aspbury Associates Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARK Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arley Homes Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arriva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aston-on-Trent Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boxall Brown &amp; Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks Developments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barratt Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow-on-Trent Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton Willmore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellway Homes East Midlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellway Homes Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Room Properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombardier Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovis Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boxall Brown and Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boxgrove Corporation N.V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyer Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadsall Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREEAM Technical Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Geological Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Telecom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnaston Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cala Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camland Developments Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal &amp; River Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castle Nursery School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castlefields Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathedral Quarter Bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB Richard Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar House Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celanese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerda Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGMS Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chellaston Residents Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chetwoods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's and Young People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christ Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Advice Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Aviation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clowes Developments (UK) Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clowes/Bellway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clowes/William Davis/Barratt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colliers CRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Estates Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for Racial Equality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compendium Living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederation of Passenger Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connexions Derbyshire Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-operative Estates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor R J Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countryside Homes Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countryside Properties (Special Projects) Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countryside Properties Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crest Nicholson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Country Trains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Abbey Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Lock Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Wilson Homes (East Midlands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Wilson Homes (North Midlands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCC Corporate &amp; Adult Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department for Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depol Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby &amp; Derbyshire Local Access Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby &amp; Sandiacre Canal Trust Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby &amp; South Derbyshire Friends of the Earth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby City NHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby City Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby City Partnership - City Growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby City Primary Care Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Civic Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Common Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby County Football Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby CVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Cycling Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Gypsy Liaison Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Police Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby Racial Equality Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbys &amp; Notts Local Nature Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire &amp; Nottinghamshire Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Alternative Technology Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Constabulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire County Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire County Cricket Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Economic Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Fire and Rescue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Historic Buildings Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Mental Health Services NHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Sport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derbyshire Wildlife Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derwent Living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Council (CABE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP Consultants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPDS Central Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPDS Consultants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers Jonas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duffield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durose Estates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands Ambulance Services NHS Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elvaston Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Churches Housing Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Heritage (East Midlands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erewash Borough Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eversheds LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faber Maunsell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairhurst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCH Housing and Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields in Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findern Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firs Estate Allotment Holders Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher German</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint Bishop LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry Commission East Midlands Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forums for Faith for Derby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Land &amp; Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeth Cartwright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends Families &amp; Travellers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion Online Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futures Housing Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G L Hearn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gala Coral Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Eve LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL Hearn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodmans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwork Derby &amp; Derbyshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallam Land Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Harris Lamb
Harron Homes
Hawksmoor
Heaton planning
Henderson Global Investors
Henry Boot Developments
Highways Agency
Holmes Antill
Home Builders Federation
Homes & Communities Agency
Howard Sharp & Partners
Igloo
Indigo Planning
Innes England
Irish Traveller Movement in Britain
Ivy Grove Developments
J S Bloor (Measham) Ltd
JB Planning Associates
JGP Properties Ltd
Job Centre Plus
John Martin & Associates
Jones Lang Laselle
JVH Town Planning Consultants Ltd
JWPC Limited
Kedleston Estates
Kier Partnership Homes
King Sturge LLP
Kirkwells
Knight Frank LLP
Lace Market Properties
Lafarge Aggregates Ltd
Lambert Smith Hampton
Langtree Group Plc
Lathams
Lidl UK GmbH
Lighspeed Derby
Little Eaton Parish Council
Littleover Neighbourhood Board
Liversage Trust
Living Streets
Lovell

LPC Living
Mackworth Parish Council
Malcolm Arnold-Foulkes
Marketing Derby
Mccarthy and Stones Retirement Lifestyle Ltd
Metropolitan Housing Trust
Michael Goodall Homes
Mickleover Neighbourhood Board
Miller Homes
Milner McCrea
Mobile Operators Association
Morley Parish Council
Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners
National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Group
National Grid
National Grid - Network Strategy
National Grid UK Transmission
Nattrass Giles
Natural England
Network Rail
Network Rail Property
NFU East Midlands
NHS England
Norseman Holdings Limited
Nottingham City Council
Ockbrook Parish Council
Oxalis Planning
Pegasus Planning Group LLP
People Matter (UK) Ltd
Persimmon Homes
Persimmon Homes (North Midlands)
Peter Brett & Associates
Peter Wigglesworth Planning Ltd
Peveril Homes
Peveril Homes/Mr Tim Parnell
PI Ltd
PJ Livesey
Places for People
Planning & Environmental Services Limited
Planning Aid
Planning and Design Group
Planning Design Practice Ltd
Planning Potential
Planware Limited
Powergen UK Plc
Prime Construction Services Ltd
Prysmian Cables & Systems Ltd
Quarndon Parish Council
Radbourne Parish Council
Radleigh Group
Radleigh Homes
Raglan Housing Association
Raybould & Sons - Commercial
Redrow Homes (Midlands) Limited
Riverside
RNIB East Midlands & East
Road Haulage Association
Roger Humber
Rolls-Royce PLC
Rosemound Developments Ltd
Royal Crown Derby
Royal Mail
RPS
RPS Planning
RPS Planning & Development
RSPB
Safer Derbyshire
Sainsbury's PLC
Sanderson Weatherall
Save the Pub Campaign
Savills
Savills (Nottm)
Scott Wilson
Severn Trent Water
Shire Consulting
Showmen's Guild of Great Britain
Sight Support
Signet Planning
Simon Foote Architects
Smith Gore
South Derbyshire District Council
Spawforths
Spirita Limited
Sport England - East Midlands
SSA Planning
St John Ambulance
St Modwen Properties Plc
Stenson Fields Parish Council
Stewart Ross Associates
Strata Homes
Strutt and Parker
Sustrans
Sustrans (East Midlands)
Talavera Estates Ltd
Taylor Wimpey East Midlands
The Barton Willmore Partnership
The British Wind Energy Association
The Coal Authority
The Crown Estate
The Guinness Trust
The Gypsy Council
The Health and Safety Executive
The Inland Waterways Association
The Lawn Tennis Association
The National Trust
The Planning Bureau Limited
The Ramblers Association
The Theatres Trust
The Woodland Trust
Toyota Motor Manufacturing (UK) Ltd
Trent Barton
Trentham Enterprise Inns
Turley Associates
Twyford and Stenson Parish Council
United Reform Church
University of Derby
Urban Cube
Urban Splash Midlands Ltd
Walton & Co
West Indian Community Centre
Western Power Distribution (East Midlands Plc)  
Westfield Shoppingtowns Ltd  
Westleigh Developments  
Weston-on-Trent Parish Council  
Wheeldon Homes  
White Young Green Planning  
William Davis Limited  
Wilson Bowden Developments  
World Heritage Site  
Wrenbridge Land Limited  
WYG  
WYG Planning & Design  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Persons invited to make representations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Denise Ablott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms C Adams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Martin Aldred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Cynthia Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Mike Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs R Allison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Sarah Allsop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Allum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Sean Andrews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Robert Apsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Armitage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Donald Armstrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob &amp; Linda Aspey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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Appendix 2
Publicity Material and Media Articles
Title of Document
Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission.

Subject matter and area covered
Derby City Council has prepared the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission for submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. The Core Strategy sets out the broad spatial planning and policy framework for the City of Derby up to 2028. It includes a long-term vision and strategic objectives, a spatial strategy, policy framework and monitoring indicators. The level of development over the period is identified together with the locations where it should go.

Period of publication for representations
Representations are invited on the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission for a period of eight weeks, ending at 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

Statement of fact
Until Friday 23 October 2015, copies of the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy and its accompanying documents, including the representation form, will be available to view on the Council’s website at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult.

Until Friday 23 October 2015, all of the submission documents will also be made available for inspection at Derby City Council, The Council House, Corporation Street, Derby, DE1 2FS during office hours (excluding Bank Holidays).

Until Friday 23 October 2015, all of the submission documents will also be made available for inspection, during normal opening hours (excluding Bank Holidays) at Libraries across the City.

In addition the Council has organised a drop-in event where members of the Spatial Planning Team will be available to answer questions regarding the consultation at the Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court on Full Street), Room 14, Full St, Derby, DE1 3AF on Thursday 24 September 2015 between 10:00am and 7:00pm.

Representations
Representations should be made on the prescribed form. The use of the standard representation form is strongly recommended as this will ensure that comments are related to matters relevant to the subsequent examination by a Planning Inspector.
Representation forms are available from the Council House reception and from local libraries. The representation form can also be downloaded from the Council’s website at [www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult](http://www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult). A paper copy can also be provided by phoning 01332 64 0807 or by emailing derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk.

Completed forms can be returned by email to derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk or posted to:

```
Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Consultation
Spatial Planning
Derby City Council
FREEPOST
MID24259
Derby
DE1 2BR
```

Comments can be submitted on-line by using the Council’s form at: [www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form](http://www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form). All information that you submit online will be held by Snap Surveys Ltd whilst this consultation is open. This company is a data processor for Derby City Council and only processes personal information in line with our instructions. After this time all data relating to this consultation will be removed from Snap Surveys Ltd servers and transferred to and held by Derby City Council.

Where requested, all named representatives will be notified of future stages. Please note that copies of all comments received will be made available for the public to view, and therefore, cannot be treated as confidential. Data will be processed and held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

All comments received will be submitted to the Secretary of State and considered as part of a public examination by an independent Planning Inspector. Representations at this stage should only be made in regards to the legal and procedural compliance of the Local Plan, the soundness of the Local Plan and whether the Local Plan is in conformity with the Duty to Cooperate.

**Notification request**

Using the representation form you can request to be notified at an address or email address of any of the following:

- The submission of the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent examination

- Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy

- The adoption of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy

For further information, please contact the Spatial Planning Team on 01332 64 0807 or derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk.
I am writing because you have previously expressed an interest in, or provided comments on, the Local Plan for Derby. The City Council is pleased to let you know that it is consulting on the 'Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission' (Core Strategy) document.

The Council consulted on the Draft Core Strategy between October and December 2013. Comments received from partners, stakeholders and local residents have been assessed and, where appropriate, amendments have been made to the plan.

The Council now considers that the Core Strategy is ready to be 'Submitted' to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent Examination by a Planning Inspector. Before we do that, however, we are required to carry out a final specific consultation exercise.

Representations at this stage must be on the grounds of the 'Soundness' of the Plan or legal and procedural compliance. The representations received will be considered by a Planning Inspector at an independent public 'Examination' at a date to be determined.

The consultation will run for eight weeks until 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

All of the relevant consultation material, including the Core Strategy document, representation forms, guidance notes and supporting evidence documents are available on-line at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult.

Alternatively, printed copies of the following documents are available for inspection at the Council House and local libraries:

- The Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission
- The Proposed Changes to the Proposals Map document
- The Sustainability Appraisal and Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening Report
- The Duty to Co-Operate Compliance Statement
- The Infrastructure Delivery Plan
- The 'Regulation 18' Statement of Consultation and Publicity
- The Statement of Representation Procedure
- Guidance notes on Legal Compliance and the Test of Soundness

Library staff will also be able to help you access supporting evidence base documents on-line if necessary. Please contact the Spatial Planning team if you require a printed version of any document.

Comments should be submitted by using the recommended forms which are available from local libraries or from the Council’s website. Alternatively, you can complete an on-line form at www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form.
If you would like to ask us questions about the consultation or the Local Plan before sending in your representations, Planning Officers will be available at The Riverside Chambers, Room 14 (the former Magistrates Court on Full Street) on **Thursday 24 September 2015** between 10:00am and 7:00pm.

I have also enclosed two documents for your information. The first is the 'Statement of Representations Procedure' which contains further information regarding this consultation, including where to view consultation material and how to make representations. The second is a brief explanation of what constitutes 'Legal Compliance' and the 'Test of Soundness'.

Please feel free to contact the Spatial Policy team on the above number if you have any queries.

Yours sincerely

Steven Lee
Policy Team Leader
Dear

Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Consultation

Please find enclosed a copy of the above document for your information and comment. The Council consulted on the Draft Core Strategy between October and December 2013. Comments received from partners, stakeholders and local residents have been assessed and, where appropriate, amendments have been made to the plan.

The Council now considers that the Core Strategy is ready to be 'Submitted' to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent Examination by a Planning Inspector. Before we do that, however, we are required to carry out a final specific consultation exercise.

Representations at this stage must be on the grounds of the 'Soundness' of the Plan or legal and procedural compliance. The representations received will be considered by a Planning Inspector at an independent public 'Examination' at a date to be determined.

The consultation will run until 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

All of the relevant consultation material, including the Core Strategy document, representation forms, guidance notes and supporting evidence documents are available on-line at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult. Comments can be made using the online form at www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form.

If you require any further information or clarification, please contact me on the above number or email address.

Yours faithfully

Steven Lee
Policy Team Leader

Sent to:
Rt Hon Margaret Beckett MP
Pauline Latham MP
Amanda Solloway MP
Dear Councillors

I am writing to let you that we are about publish the Derby City Local - Plan Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission for its final consultation. This is an important planning policy document that sets out the City's proposals for housing and employment growth in the City up to 2028. Members will be aware of the large amount of public consultation, debate and evidence that has built up to this stage over a number of years. Full Council approved this plan in November 2014 (the delay in publication has mainly been as a result of addressing issues with Amber Valley and South Derbyshire’s plans – this work is now drawing to a close).

We now consider that the Plan is ready to be submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination by a Planning Inspector. Before we do that, however, we are required to undertake a final specific consultation exercise. We are required to ask people to consider very specific questions relating to how the Core Strategy has been prepared. This is more commonly known as the “Test of Soundness”. The representations we receive will be considered by an independent Planning Inspector at the Examination in public. This is likely to be held early next year.

The consultation period will open tomorrow (Wed 26th) and will run until 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015. Documents will be available to inspect on-line at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult and paper copies will be available to view at the Council House and local libraries. Comments can be submitted by using the recommended forms which are available from local libraries or from the Council’s website or on-line at www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form.

We will also be holding a drop-in event at the Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court on Full Street), Room 14 on Thursday 24 September 2015 between 10:00am and 7:00pm where officers will be available to talk to the public about this consultation and explain the examination and adoption process.

A copy of the Derby City Local Plan Part 1 - Core Strategy: Pre-Submission document, in addition to a list of supporting documents, will be placed in the Member’s Rooms for the duration of the consultation. If you require paper copies of any particular document, please let me know.

I have also attached the ‘Statutory Notice’ and a document which briefly explains what constitutes the ‘Test of Soundness’ for information. If you require any further information or clarification, please contact me on the number below or any member of the Policy Team on 640807.

Regards
Dear

**Derby City Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy Pre-submission Consultation**

I recently contacted you about the publication of the Derby City Local Plan Part 1: Pre-Submission Core Strategy. I am now taking the opportunity, early in the consultation period, to remind you of the closing date for comments.

The consultation began on Wednesday 26 August 2015 and will run for eight weeks, ending at 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

All of the consultation material including the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy document, representation forms, guidance notes and supporting evidence base documents are available on-line at [www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult](http://www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult).

Comments can be submitted by using the recommended forms which are available from local libraries or from the Council’s website. Alternatively, you can complete an on-line form at [www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form](http://www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form).

I would also draw your attention to the 'Statement of Representations Procedure' which contains further information regarding this consultation, including where to view consultation material and how to make representations and the associated 'Test of Soundness' guidance note.

Our records indicate that you are the main point of contact with regard to the Core Strategy. If this is incorrect, could you please forward this correspondence on to the relevant member of your organisation and inform us of the new contact details.

If you require any further information, please contact me or the Spatial Planning team on the above number or email address.

Yours sincerely

Steven Lee
Policy Team Leader
Dear

**Derby City Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy Pre-submission Consultation**

You will recall that I contacted you at the end of August to inform you about the publication of the **Derby City Local Plan Part 1: Pre-Submission Core Strategy**.

I am now taking the opportunity to remind you that there are still three weeks remaining for you to send in comments on the legal compliance and ‘soundness’ of the plan. The consultation will end at 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

To recap, the consultation material is available on-line at [www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult](http://www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult), in local libraries and at the Council House. Comments can be submitted by using the recommended forms which are available from local libraries or from the Council’s website. Alternatively, you can complete an on-line form at [www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form](http://www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form). Our website also includes useful information on the ‘Test of Soundness’ and a number of important evidence documents that have been used to prepare the plan.

If you require any further information on the Core Strategy, please contact a member of the Spatial Planning Team on the above number.

Yours sincerely

Steven Lee
Policy Team Leader
We will shortly be submitting our Core Strategy to the Secretary of State but we need to ask you if we have followed the correct procedures.

The Consultation run for eight weeks and will end on:

**Friday 23 October 2015**

Come and talk to us about the consultation at the Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court), Room 14 on Thursday 24 September between 10am and 7pm

Documents are available at the Council House, in local Libraries and On-line at [www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult](http://www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult)
DERBY’S CORE STRATEGY READY FOR NEXT STAGE

Derby City Council will be asking people to comment on the preparation of the Core Strategy this month.

In addition to setting out how the Council will deliver new housing and employment over the next 15 years, the Core Strategy contains policies dealing with a range of subjects such as retailing, climate change, green infrastructure, the historic environment and transport. It is these policies which will, when adopted, inform planning decisions up to 2028.

There have been eight consultations undertaken by the Council to help bring the Core Strategy to this final stage in the process. Following the last consultation in late 2013, where the Council received around 1700 responses from the public, the Core Strategy was approved at Full Council in November 2014.

The delay in moving ahead with the Core Strategy has been due to issues raised at the Amber Valley and South Derbyshire examinations, but the Council is now in a position for the final consultation before submission to the Secretary of State for the Department of Communities and Local Government. The Council are required to undertake a final consultation in line with Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.

At this stage, people are being asked specifically to comment on whether the Core Strategy has been prepared in accordance with planning regulations, is based on robust evidence and that its aims and objectives are achievable (this stage is what is commonly known as the “Test of Soundness”).

Councillor Martin Rawson, Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration, said: “Every local authority in the country is being required to provide land for more housing and employment to cater for a growing population. This means we have to make difficult decisions as we are aware that some people have concerns about the locations and scale of proposed developments. We have been continuing to talk to people throughout this process...
and now we are asking them to consider whether our draft Core Strategy has been positively prepared, is justified and effective and is consistent with national policy."

The consultation will run for a period of eight weeks and end on Friday 23 October and at this stage any comments made will be passed directly on to the Planning Inspector. There will be a drop-in event at the Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court), Room 14 on Thursday 24 September 2015, running from 10:00am to 7:00pm where people can discuss the consultation with Council officers.

The Core Strategy and a number of supporting documents will be available on the Council’s website (www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult).

-ENDS-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Press Office
Derby City Council
01332 643500
press.office@derby.gov.uk
CORE STRATEGY DROP IN EVENT THIS THURSDAY

There are still four weeks left to comment on the preparation of the Core Strategy, and those with an interest are invited to a drop in event at the Riverside Chambers this Thursday 24th September.

In addition to setting out how the Council will deliver new housing and employment over the next 15 years, the Core Strategy contains policies dealing with a range of subjects such as retailing, climate change, green infrastructure, the historic environment and transport. It is these policies which will, when adopted, inform planning decisions up to 2028.

This is the final consultation before submission to the Secretary of State for the Department of Communities and Local Government. At this stage, we’re asking for people to comment specifically to comment on whether the Core Strategy has been prepared in accordance with planning regulations, is based on robust evidence and that its aims and objectives are achievable (this stage is what is commonly known as the “Test of Soundness”).

The drop in event is in Room 17 and runs from 10.00am to 7.00pm where attendees can discuss the consultation with officers and ask any questions they may have.

Councillor Martin Rawson, Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration, said: “Every local authority in the country is being required to provide land for more housing and employment to cater for a growing population. This means we have to make difficult decisions as we are aware that some people have concerns about the locations and scale of proposed developments.

We’ve been talking to people throughout the process and now offer an additional opportunity to come and ask questions face to face. We want to ensure our draft Core Strategy has been positively prepared, is justified and effective and is consistent with national policy.”

The Core Strategy and a number of supporting documents are available at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Press Office
Derby City Council
01332 643500
press.office@derby.gov.uk
REGULATION 19, 20 AND 35 OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLAN

Derby City Council
Notice in effect from 26 August 2015 until 16 September 2015

Derby

Regulation 19, 20 and 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 Title of Document Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission. Subject matter and area covered Derby City Council has prepared the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission for submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. It sets out the broad spatial planning and policy framework for the City of Derby up to 2028. It includes a long-term vision and strategic objectives, a spatial strategy, policy framework and monitoring indicators. The level of development over the period is identified together with the locations where it should go. Period of publication for representations. Representations are invited for a period of eight weeks, ending at 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015. Availability of Documents. Documents are available for inspection at the Council House, local libraries and online at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult. A drop-in event will be held at Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court on Full Street), Room 14, Full St, Derby, DE1 3AF on Thursday 24 September 2015 between 10:00am and 7:00pm. Notification request Using the representation form you can request to be notified at an address or email address of any of the following: - The submission of the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent examination - Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy - The adoption of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy For further information, please contact the Spatial Planning Team on 01332 64 0807 or derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk.

Attachments

Original Print Notice
Public Notice on-line: Derby Evening Telegraph 26 August 2015

We'll buy your house today!

Do you need to sell a house you have inherited? Are you thinking of moving to a retirement home? Or do you just need to sell your house quickly?

• Expert advice to suit: competition in as little as 7 days.
• No valuations, no chain, no tie-in contracts, no hassle.
• All property types in any condition: in-town & village.
• No unnecessary delays or complications.
• No pushy & no obligation.

The UK's favourite house buying service

Call us free now and get your same day cash offer:

Mobile: 01928 227304
www.moveanywhere.co.uk

CASH OR YOUR PHONE

Buy & Sell online

The UK's favourite house buying service

Call us free now and get your same day cash offer:

Mobile: 01928 227304
www.moveanywhere.co.uk
REGULATION 19, 20 AND 35 OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLAN

Derby City Council
Notice in effect from 10 September 2015 until 01 October 2015

Regulation 19, 20 and 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 Title of Document Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission. Subject matter and area covered Derby City Council has prepared the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission for submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. It sets out the broad spatial planning and policy framework for the City of Derby up to 2028. It includes a long-term vision and strategic objectives, a spatial strategy, policy framework and monitoring indicators. The level of development over the period is identified together with the locations where it should go. Period of publication for representations. Representations are invited for a period of eight weeks, ending at 5.00pm on Friday 23 October 2015. Availability of Documents. Documents are available for inspection at the Council House, local libraries and online at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult. A drop-in event will be held at Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court on Full Street), Room 14, Full St, Derby, DE1 3AF on Thursday 24 September 2015 between 10.00am and 7.00pm. Notification request. Using the representation form you can request to be notified at an address or email address of any of the following - The submission of the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy; Pre-Submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent examination - Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1 Core Strategy - The adoption of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy. For further information, please contact the Spatial Planning Team on 01332 64 0807 or derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk.
The Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Consultation

Consultation Details

Title: The Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Consultation
Start date: 26/08/2015
Closing date: 23/10/2015 at 5pm
Status: Open

Overview: This is the final consultation before the Council will submit the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission document to the Secretary of State for examination by an independent Planning Inspector. The Council is asking two questions: is the plan ‘legal’ and is the plan ‘sound’.

Reason for consultation: To meet the requirements of Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. To provide residents and stakeholders with the opportunity to comment on how the plan has been prepared, whether its aims are achievable and whether it has been based on robust evidence.

Organisation carrying out the consultation: Derby City Council

Lead contacts:
Dave Brown
Senior Planning Officer
Planning and Regeneration
Neighbourhoods Directorate
Derby City Council
The Council House
Corporation Street
Derby
DE1 2FS
Telephone: 01332 642120
email: derby.dh@derby.gov.uk

Who is being consulted?
Statutory consultees; businesses, neighbouring local authorities, service providers, Neighbourhood Partnerships, the Council’s Diversity Forums and residents.

View the consultation documents.

Read the:
• Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission document and the Proposed Changes to the Proposals Map document.
• Derby City Local Plan - Part 1: Guidance Notes on Legal Compliance and the Test of Soundness
• Derby City Local Plan - Part 1: Statement of Representations Procedure and Availability of Documents

These documents are available on our Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission web page.

Complete and submit your response.

Complete the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Response Form online.

Alternatively you can download a PDF or Word version of this form:

• Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Response Form - PDF
• Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Response Form - Word

Paper copies of the document, response form and Guidance Note are available in the Council House Reception area and at local Libraries.

Attend a drop in event.

We will be holding a drop-in event, where Planning Officers will be available to answer any questions that you have about the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission on:
• Room 14, Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court on Full Street), Full St, Derby, DE1 3AF on Thursday 24 September 2015 between 10:00am and 7:00pm.

Who can take part?: Open to all.

More information on taking part:
For further information about the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission please visit our Local Development Framework page.

Expected results date:

Results available?: Results not published yet.
You may recall that we carried out a consultation in the autumn of 2013 where we sought your views on the Derby City Local Plan Part 1: Draft Core Strategy. This is the plan that established a housing figure for Derby and identified sites for development to meet this. The plan also contained policies on a wide range of topics covering regeneration, the economy, affordable housing, retailing, transport, protection of the natural and historic environment and addressing the impact of climate change.

The comments we received have been recorded and, where necessary, amendments have been made to the Local Plan. A summary of all the responses we received and our response can be found in the Regulation 18 Statement of Consultation and Publicity.

A report setting out the results of the draft plan consultation, a schedule of amendments and seeking approval to undertake the imminent consultation prior to submitting the plan to the Secretary of State was considered by the Council’s Cabinet on 1 October 2014 and Full Council on 26 November 2014. The relevant report (Item 7) can be found here:


We are now undertaking one last consultation before we submit the Local Plan to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government who will, in turn, appoint an independent Planning Inspector who will examine the plan.

This is the Local Plan that the Council considers to be the final, ‘sound’ plan. This consultation is different to previous consultations which were more informal and sought people’s views on a wide range of subjects. At this stage we are required to ask people to consider if the Local Plan has been prepared in accordance with the planning regulations, is based on a robust evidence base and that the Local Plan’s aims and objectives are deliverable and achievable – this is more commonly known as the “Test of Soundness”.

The consultation will run for eight weeks and end at 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015. Any comments submitted after this will not be recorded and not considered. We have set this deadline to ensure that all who wish to take part in this consultation have the same timescale within which to respond.
Documents, response forms and the guidance note are available at the Council House Reception, from local libraries and on-line at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult.

The following documents will be made available as part of the consultation pack placed in local libraries and at the Council House reception:

- The Derby City Local Plan, Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission
- Proposed changes to the Proposals Map document
- Representation Form
- A Guidance Note on legal Compliance and the Test of Soundness
- Statement of Representation Procedure
- Regulation 18 Statement of Consultation and Publicity
- Infrastructure Delivery Plan
- Sustainability Assessment and the Habitats Regulation Assessment

Other, supporting documents will be published on-line as part of the Council’s examination Library. These documents can be found by following a link from our consultation page www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult.

We have published a guidance note to help you complete our response form and ensure that your comments are considered as part of the plan-making process. It sets out what you may wish to consider before you state if the plan is legally compliant. It also provides information about the five tests of soundness that you should consider before submitting your responses.

Responses can be made on-line or by using the paper forms included as part of this pack.

Officers will be available at the Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court on Full Street), Room 14 on Thursday 24 September 2015 between 10:00am and 7:00pm to answer any of your questions regarding this consultation or to discuss the process following the submission of the plan to the Secretary of State.

At this stage, any comments submitted, including names and contact details, will be forwarded, via the Secretary of State, to an independent Planning Inspector who will consider all responses as part of the examination. Also, respondents names will be attributed to all comments made and made publically available on the Council’s website and as part of the examination library. A full explanation of how we will use the information submitted is included as part of the comments form.

Completed forms can be returned by email to derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk or returned to the Council using the Freepost address below:

Derby City Local Plan, Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Consultation
Alternatively, you can submit your comments on-line at www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form. All information that you submit online will be held by Snap Surveys Ltd whilst this consultation is open. This company is a data processor for Derby City Council and only processes personal information in line with our instructions. After this time all data relating to this consultation will be removed from Snap Surveys Ltd servers and transferred to and held by Derby City Council.
Leader's Welcome

"As Leader of Derby City Council, I'd like to welcome you to our website. I want to have as much direct contact with our communities in Derby as possible, as well anyone else who takes an interest in the Council's work whilst scrolling through our website. I would therefore like to invite you to drop into one of my regular surgeries. I look forward to meeting you."

Councillor Ranjit Banwait, Leader of Derby City Council.

Read about his full range of responsibilities on Councillor Banwait's portfolio page.

Sign up to Council e-shot
Core Strategy ready for next stage

Posted: 27/08/2015

We are inviting comments on the preparation of the Core Strategy.

In addition to setting out how the Council will deliver new housing and employment over the next 15 years, the Core Strategy contains policies on subjects such as retailing, climate change, green infrastructure, the historic environment and transport. These policies will, if adopted, inform planning decisions up to 2038.

There have been eight consultations undertaken so far to help bring the Core Strategy to its final stage in the process. The last consultation in late 2013 received around 1700 responses from the public and the Core Strategy was approved at Full Council in November 2014.

There have been delays moving ahead with the Core Strategy due to issues raised at the Amber Valley and South Derbyshire consultations, but we are now in a position for the final consultation before submission to the Secretary of State for the Department of Communities and Local Government. We are required to undertake a final consultation in line with Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning (England) Regulations 2012.

At this stage, we're asking specifically for comments on whether the Core Strategy has been presented in accordance with planning regulations, is based on robust evidence and that its aims and objectives are achievable.

Councillor Martin Ravigan, Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration, said:

"Every local authority in the country is being required to provide land for more housing and employment sites for a growing population. This means we have to make difficult decisions as we are aware that some people have concerns about the locations and scale of proposed developments. We have been continuing to talk to people throughout this process and now we are asking them to consider whether our draft Core Strategy has been positively prepared, is justified and effective and is consistent with national policy."

The consultation will run for a period of eight weeks and end on Friday 23 October and at this stage any comments made will be passed directly on to the Government Planning Inspector.

There will be a drop-in event at the Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court), Room 14 on Thursday 24 September 2015, running from 10.00am to 7.00pm where people can discuss the consultation with Council officers.

The Core Strategy and a number of supporting documents are available on our Core Strategy Consultation pages.
Core Strategy Consultation

We are asking people to comment on the preparation of our Core Strategy.

The Core Strategy contains policies about a range of subjects such as housing, climate change, the historic environment and transport. It is these policies which will inform planning decisions up to 2028.

For more information visit: http://www.derby.gov.uk/
**In Touch 1 September 2015**

**Derby’s Core Strategy consultation**

We have just started a consultation on the Council’s Core Strategy. This document sets out how we will deliver new housing and employment, provide opportunities for sport and recreation, protect our heritage and deliver opportunities to promote sustainable transport.

The consultation started last week and is open until Friday 23rd October 2015. Comments made will be passed directly on to the Government Planning Inspector.

There will be a drop-in event at the Riverside Chambers (the former Magistrates Court), Room 14 on Thursday 24th September 2015, running from 10.00am to 7.00pm where people can discuss the consultation with Council officers.

For more information including the Core Strategy and supporting documents, visit the [Core Strategy](#) pages of our website.

---

**In Touch 21 September 2015**

**Latest news**

**Have you commented on the preparation of the Core Strategy – drop in event this week**

A drop in event is being held this Thursday (24th September) at the Riverside Chambers, from 10.00am until 7.00pm where you can discuss the Core Strategy consultation with the Planning Policy team.

Every local authority in the country is being required to provide land for more housing and employment to cater for a growing population. If you want to know more, or have comments to make, it’s a great opportunity. Just drop in, there’s no need to book.

The Core Strategy and a number of supporting documents are available on our [website](#).
Have you commented on the preparation of the Core Strategy?

Just three weeks remain for you to submit your comments on the Core Strategy Consultation. It will close officially at 5.00pm on Friday 23rd October.

The Core Strategy and a number of supporting documents are available on our website.
Twitter Postings

Derby @Derby_UK_News · Aug 27
Derby’s Core Strategy ready for next stage #Derby newslocker.com/en-uk/region/d...

Derby City Council @DerbyCC · Sep 11
You have six weeks left to comment on our Core Strategy. For more details and to comment visit: socsi.in/XjneH

Posted on 23 September 2015

Derby City Council @DerbyCC · 3h
Core Strategy drop in - TOMORROW. Come and talk to us between 10am and 7pm at the Riverside Chambers (room14). socsi.in/3Eprh
Posted on 24 September 2015

**Derby City Council @DerbyCC 17m**
Officers will be at the Riverside Chambers to answer questions & help you respond to Core Strategy consultation. Head over between 10am-7pm.

Posted on 21 October 2015

**Derby City Council @DerbyCC 4h**
There are just 3 days left to submit your comments on Derby's Core Strategy Consultation. Find out more here: socsi.in/Jcpby
Facebook Postings

Derby City Council
11 September at 17:18

We are inviting comments on the preparation of the Core Strategy.

In addition to setting out how we will deliver new housing and employment over the next 15 years, the Core Strategy contains policies on subjects such as retailing, climate change, green infrastructure, the historic environment and transport. These policies will, when adopted, inform planning decisions up to 2028.

More information is available on our website: http://social.in/9GXXHh

Core Strategy: Pre-Submission

Derby City Council
11 September at 12:00

There are six weeks left to comment on the preparation of the Core Strategy.

In addition to setting out how we will deliver new housing and employment over the next 15 years, the Core Strategy contains policies on subjects such as retailing, climate change, green infrastructure, the historic environment and transport. These policies will, when adopted, inform planning decisions up to 2028.

More information is available on our website:

Core Strategy ready for next stage | Derby City Council

In addition to setting out how the Council will deliver new housing and employment over the next 15 years, the Core Strategy contains policies on subjects...
Would you like to have a say on plans for new housing, employment, climate change, transport and more? Come along to the Core Strategy drop-in event tomorrow. Share with friends who may be interested.

**24 SEPT**

Core Strategy Consultation Drop-in
Tomorrow 10 - Riverside Chambers, Full St
Derby City Council created an event.

24 Sept
Core Strategy Consultation Drop-in
Tomorrow 10 · Riverside Chambers, Full S

Derby City Council
1 hr · 🗓

There are just 3 days left to submit your comments on Derby's Core Strategy Consultation. Find out more here:

Derby City Council Planning - Local Plan, Part 1 Pre-Submission Consultation
Derby City Council page explains about Regulation 19 Pre-Submission Consultation
SOC2LIN | BY DERBY CITY COUNCIL, COUNCIL HO...
An Invitation to an event organised by RICS on 8 October 2015

Derby Matrics Planning CPD morning seminar
Seminar Derby, 8 Oct 2015 MATRICS

This CPD Planning Seminar will centre around the recently published Derby City Local Plan – Core Strategy 2015 which has just gone in for consultation.

Below is more information about the consultation:

We have two speakers to discuss the Residential and Commercial/Employment elements:

1. Commercial speaker – David Ward, Planning Director at Wilson Bowden
2. Residential speaker – TBC

David will centre his talk around the 3 main strategic employment sites in Derby (one of which is Infinity Park)

The Riverside Champsers venue itself was also developed by Wilson Bowden in partnership with Derby City Council, this offers an impressive backdrop for the seminar. The building has won 2 awards for this development (for both improving Derby City Centre and conservation/restoration) it really is a special building, bringing a listed building back into use, generating jobs and meet the city’s need for managed office space.
Young people celebrate school transformations

Derby South MP, Rt Hon Dame Margaret Beckett officially opened the new look Osmaston Primary.

Core strategy - a week to go

There is just over a week left to comment on the preparation of the Core Strategy. In addition to setting out how we will deliver new housing and employment over the next 15 years, the strategy contains policies on retailing, climate change, green infrastructure, the historic environment and transport. These policies will, when adopted, inform planning decisions up to 2028 - so it’s important to have your say.
Introduction

This public consultation is an opportunity for you to comment on the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission (formerly known as the Derby City Core Strategy).

Responses made at this stage will be treated as a formal representation and considered by an independent Planning Inspector. The Inspector will consider all of the comments received and will prepare a report of the changes required (if any) to make the Local Plan legal and sound.

Normally there will not be another opportunity to make further comments before the examination by the independent Planning Inspector begins. However, further comments may be requested by the Planning Inspector based on the matters and issues that he or she identifies through the examination process as needing further clarification.

Taking part in this consultation

All responses must be received by us by 5pm on Friday 23 October 2015. Comments received after this time will not be recorded and will not be considered. We have set this deadline to ensure that all who wish to take part in this consultation have the same timescale within which to respond.

All of the documents relating to this consultation can be found on our website at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult. Paper copies of the consultation documents, Response Form and Guidance Note are available in all Derby libraries and from the Council House reception.

Please contact the Spatial Planning Team at Derby City Council on 01332 640807 with any questions about this consultation or to request paper copies of the documentation. You can also email us at, derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk, or write to us using the Freepost address shown on the last page of this form.

Please fill in a separate paper Response Form for each part of the Plan you wish to comment on. If you use the online version of this form you can submit comments on more than one part of the Plan using just one form, this is available at www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form.
This form has two parts. Part A asks for your contact details and Part B asks questions for you to consider and gives you the opportunity to make comments.

For this consultation we are asking you to consider only two questions relating to whether the plan is legal or sound:

**Is the Local Plan legal?** If your response is about the way in which we have prepared the Local Plan, then this is likely to relate to whether or not the Local Plan is legal.

**Is the Local Plan sound?** If your response is about the content of the Local Plan and the strategy it proposes, then this is likely to relate to whether or not the Local Plan is sound.

We strongly recommend that you read the ‘Guidance Notes on Legal Compliance and the Test of Soundness’, available on our website at www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult, as you consider your response and complete this form.

If your comments are seeking to change the Local Plan, you should make clear what you would like to be changed, why and where possible state exactly how the Plan should be changed. Your comments should cover concisely all of the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support and justify your suggested change/s.

**How we will use the information you give.**

We will use all responses to this consultation to make, where necessary, amendments to the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission. We may contact you, if necessary, to clarify the responses that you have given to ensure that we have understood and considered your comments appropriately. We will produce an overall report on the results of this consultation which will not link your name with the comments that you have given or any other personal information that you give. We may attribute comments given by organisations, developers and their agents when reporting, unless you tell us you do not want this to happen.

We must forward all responses we receive through this consultation to an independent Planning Inspector who will consider them as part of the examination. A copy of your comments will be part of the examination library which will be available for the public to use during the examination. Your name and contact details, but not your personal demographic responses, will be available for the public to view alongside the comments you have given. A copy of your response will also be published on-line; this will contain only your name alongside the comments you have given.

Your name, contact details and comments will only be used by the independent Planning Inspector to check that we have considered, taken account of and responded to all comments given. As a part of this process the independent Planning Inspector may contact you, unless you tell us you do not want this to happen. The independent Planning Inspector will also use this information to contact you about the oral examination if you express an interest at question 9 in taking part in this stage of the process.

**All information provided will be treated in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. It will be used as described in the 'How we will use the information you give' section above. The information you provide may be shared with other departments in the Council for the purpose of preventing fraud or the misuse of public funds, or for any legal or statutory requirements such as safeguarding children and adults. It may also be shared with other public bodies (such as the Audit Commission) for a similar purpose.**
Part A: Your Contact Details – please complete this section if you’re happy for us to hold your personal details.

Are you responding to this consultation as… Please select all that apply.

- a resident of Derby?
- a representative of a public sector organisation?
- a representative of a private sector organisation?
- a representative of a community / voluntary organisation?
- an agent responding on behalf of your client? If you are an agent, please give your client's contact details below first followed by your contact details.
- a spokesperson submitting the collective view of a group? Please supply a separate list of your group members along with their contact details.
- other?

If you are responding as a representative of an organisation, please tell us which organisation this is.

If you are responding as a representative of an organisation, please tell us your role within this organisation.

Please tell us your...

If you are responding on behalf of someone else, please give their contact details here.

Name
Address
Postcode
e-mail address*
Telephone number*

Agents - if you are completing this form on behalf of your client, please include your...

Name
Company name
Address
Postcode
e-mail address
Telephone number*

* We only need this information if you are happy for us to contact you in this way.
Part B: Making Your Representation

Please select the document you are commenting on and tell us which section, paragraph, policy or map reference your comment relates to. Then please answer the questions which ask for your views on the legal compliance and soundness of the part of the Plan you have highlighted.

For open-ended questions, please continue on a separate sheet if necessary. Please reference which question/s your additional information relates to.

1. Which part of the Local Plan do you wish to comment on? Please select one option.
   - [ ] The Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission
   - [ ] The Proposed Changes to Proposals Map

2. Please tell us which section, paragraph number, policy reference or proposed change to the Proposals Map your comment relates to.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Paragraph number</th>
<th>Policy reference</th>
<th>Proposals Map reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Is the Plan Legally Compliant? Please refer to page 2 of the Guidance Note.

3. Do you consider the Plan to be Legally Compliant? Please select one option
   - [ ] Yes
   - [ ] No

4. Why do you consider the Local Plan to be, or not to be, legally compliant? Please give further details and be as precise as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.
5. Please explain what change you think should be made to the Plan to make it legally compliant and explain why this change will make it legally compliant. Any revised wording of the policy or text that you wish to suggest would be helpful. Please be as precise as possible.

6. Do you consider the Plan to be sound? Please select one option.

☐ Yes  ☐ No

7. If you consider the Plan to be unsound, is this because it...

☐ has not been positively prepared?
☐ is not justified?
☐ is not effective?
☐ is not consistent with national policy?

8. Please explain why you think the Plan is either sound or unsound or what change you think should be made to the Plan to make it sound. Please say why this change will make the plan sound. Any revised wording of the policy or text that you wish to suggest would be helpful. Please be as precise as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Is the Plan Sound? Please refer to page 3 of the Guidance Note.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary
9. If your comments are seeking a change/s to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

☐ No I do not wish to participate at the oral examination. Please go to question 11

☐ Yes I do wish to participate at the oral examination. Please go to question 10

If you select No, your written comments will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector. Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination. There is no right to be heard at a hearing session and it is the Inspector who decides who should be heard.

Please tell us if you wish to attend the hearing sessions as an observer.

10. If your answer to question 9 is 'yes', please explain why you consider that it is necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Receiving information about the progress of the Local Plan

11. I would like to be notified of the following events: Please select all that apply.

☐ When the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission has been submitted to the Secretary of State for examination.

☐ When the independent Planning Inspector appointed to carry out the examination has published their report.

☐ When the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1: Core Strategy has been formally adopted by the Council.
About you - this section will be separated from the rest of this Response Form before we publish and send a copy of your response to the independent Planning Inspector.

To help us understand the views of different groups of people who live in or have an interest in Derby, please complete all of the questions you are comfortable answering.

12. Please tell us your postcode.  
13. What was your age on your last birthday? Please give your age in years.

14. Are you... Please select one box only.

- [ ] Male
- [ ] Female

15. Do you consider yourself to be a disabled person? Please select one box only.

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

16. To which group do you consider you belong? Please select one box only.

- [ ] Asian or Asian British - Indian
- [ ] Asian or Asian British - Pakistani
- [ ] Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi
- [ ] Asian or Asian British - Chinese
- [ ] Any other Asian background
- [ ] Black or Black British - African
- [ ] Black or Black British - Caribbean
- [ ] Any other Black background
- [ ] Dual Heritage - White and Black Caribbean
- [ ] Dual Heritage - White and Black African
- [ ] Dual Heritage - White and Asian
- [ ] Any other Dual Heritage background
- [ ] White - English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British
- [ ] White - Irish
- [ ] White - Gypsy or Irish Traveller
- [ ] Any other White background
- [ ] Other ethnic group - Arab
- [ ] Any other ethnic group

If you have selected one of the 'Any other background' options, please give further details.

Submitting your comments

We must receive all responses by 5pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

Comments received after this time will not be recorded and will not be considered by the Council. We have set this deadline to ensure that all who wish to take part in this consultation have the same timescale within which to respond.

You can submit your comments using our online form at www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form.

Forms completed electronically in Word can be emailed to derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk and forms printed or completed on paper can be returned using the Freepost address below:

Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Consultation
Spatial Planning
Derby City Council
FREEPOST
MID24259
Derby
DE1 2BR
We have produced this Guidance Note to help you complete our Derby City Local Plan, Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Response Form to ensure that your comments can be considered as fully as possible during the plan-making process.

Please contact us if you need any further advice or have any questions. Our contact details are at the end of this document.

Responses made to this consultation will be treated as a formal representation and considered by an independent Planning Inspector.

For this consultation we are asking you to consider two questions:

**Is the Local Plan Legal?** If your response is about the way in which we prepared the Local Plan, then these are likely to relate to whether or not it is legal.

**Is the Local Plan Sound?** If your response is about the content of the Local Plan and the strategy it proposes, then these are likely to relate to whether or not it is sound.

**Completing the Response Form**
Please refer to the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Response Form which sets out how we will use the information you provide.

There are two parts to the Response Form.

**Part A: Your Contact Details**
Please complete this section so that:

- we can contact you, if necessary, to clarify the response you have made to ensure that we have understood, recorded and considered your comments appropriately
- the independent Planning Inspector can contact you as part of the examination process to, if necessary, to clarify the responses you have made, invite you to make further comments or to invite you to participate in the examination
- we can notify you about the progress of the Local Plan following this consultation
The Agent’s contact details only need to be completed if someone is sending us responses on your behalf. This could be someone you have paid to make responses (such as a Planning Consultant) or a family member or friend. While we will deal with your agent on a day to day basis the responses are classed as your own.

If you are responding online you (or your agent) will need to provide an email address along with a postal address.

**Part B: Making Your Representation**

You can submit comments on any part of the following:

- The Derby City Local Plan, Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission
- The Proposed Changes to the Policies Map document

If you use the online response form you can submit comments on more than one part of the Local Plan using just one form – [www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form](http://www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form).

All information that you submit online will be held by Snap Surveys Ltd whilst this consultation is open. This company is a data processor for Derby City Council and only processes personal information in line with our instructions. After this time all data relating to this consultation will be removed from Snap Surveys Ltd servers and transferred to and held by Derby City Council.

**Is the Plan is Legally Compliant?**

This section of the response form focusses on the first test that we need to meet before we are able to adopt our Local Plan.

**Select YES** if you think that the plan is **legally compliant**. A plan is considered **legal** when it complies with the legal requirements under **section 20(5) (a) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004**.

**Select NO** if you think that the Local Plan is **not legally compliant**. If you think that we have not met the legal requirement in the preparation of the Plan, please use the response form to tell us what we have not done or what we have done incorrectly.

You may wish to consider the following before making a representation on legal compliance:

- Whether the Local Plan has regard to national policy and guidance issued by the Secretary of State
• Whether the Local Plan has been prepared in-line with our Local Development Scheme http://www.derby.gov.uk/LDS. The LDS is effectively a programme of work prepared by the Council, setting out the documents to be produced. It sets out the key stages in the production of any documents the Council propose to bring forward for independent examination.

• Whether community consultation has been carried out in accordance with our Statement of Community Involvement http://www.derby.gov.uk/SCI

• The Local Plan should comply with the Town and County Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. On submission of the Local Plan, the Council must publish the documents prescribed in the regulations, and make them available at their principal offices and on their website.

• Whether the appropriate notifications have been made.

• Whether a Sustainability Appraisal assessing social, environmental and economic factors has been done and made public. The Sustainability Appraisal is a tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect social, environmental, and economic factors.

• Whether the requirements of the Duty to Co-operate have been met. The Localism Act, section 110, and the National Planning Policy Framework, paragraphs 178 to 181, creates a duty on all local planning authorities and other bodies to cooperate with each other to address strategic issues in the preparation of the Local Plan. Further information about the Duty to Co-operate can be found in the National Planning Practice Guidance (http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/)

Please tell us:

• why you consider the Local Plan to be, or not to be, legally compliant.

• what changes you think are needed to make the Local Plan legally compliant. Please state why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant. It would also be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for any policy or supporting text. Please be as precise as possible.

Is the Plan Sound?

This section gives you the opportunity to comment on the second test that we need to meet to be able to adopt our Local Plan.

To meet the Test of Soundness, the independent Planning Inspector is required to consider if our Local Plan has been positively prepared, justified and effective and is consistent with national policy.

You may wish to consider the following before making a representation on the Soundness of our plan:

• Positively Prepared: This means that we have objectively assessed the need for homes, jobs, services and infrastructure and these have been delivered sustainably. If you think that our assessments are not objective or do not take sufficient account of unmet needs in neighbouring authorities then
your comments relate to whether our Local Plan has been positively prepared or not.

- **Justified**: This means that the Plan is based upon a robust and credible evidence base. If you think that the evidence doesn’t support the choice made in our Local Plan or there are realistic alternatives then your comments relate to whether it is justified.

- **Effective**: This means that the Plan will deliver what it sets out to. If you think that what we are proposing in the Local Plan will not happen as the required infrastructure cannot be provided, the groups who will deliver elements of it haven’t signed up to it or our Local Plan does not join up with the strategies of our neighbouring authorities, then your comments relate to whether our Local Plan is effective or not.

- **Consistent with national policy**: Do you consider that our Local Plan accords with the National Planning Policy Framework and other policies or includes clear and convincing reasons for doing something different? Alternatively, you may think that the Local Plan should depart from national policy due to an identified and justified local need.

For each of these tests of soundness, the response form gives you an opportunity to:

- give details of why you consider the Plan to be either sound or unsound.
- explain what change you think should be made to the Plan to make it sound. It is helpful if you can include why this change will make the Plan sound as well as suggesting any revised wording of the policy or text.

**Hearing Sessions**
As part of the examination the independent Planning Inspector holds hearing sessions into various matters that he or she has identified. Please note, there is no right to be heard at a hearing session and it is the Inspector who decides who should be heard. Your written representations have equal weight with the comments made in person at the hearing sessions.

If your response is seeking a change to the Local Plan, please indicate on the response form if you consider it necessary to participate at a hearing session?

If you wish to participate at a Hearing Session, please indicate on the response form why you consider this to be necessary.

You may also wish to attend the hearing sessions as an observer.

**Receiving information about the progress of the Local Plan.**
Please let us know if you wish to be kept informed of key stages in the preparation of the Local Plan following the end of this consultation. The next states are:
• The submission of the Derby City Local Plan - Part 1: Core Strategy for independent examination

• The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy

• The adoption of the Derby City Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy

How to Respond
We need to receive all responses by us by 5:00pm on Friday 23 October 2015.

Comments received after the closing date will not be recorded and will not be considered by the Council. This deadline is fixed by law, it ensures that all who wish to take part in this consultation have the same timescale within which to respond.

Completed forms can be returned by email to derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk or posted to:

Derby City Local Plan - Part 1 Core Strategy: Pre-Submission Consultation
Spatial Planning
Derby City Council
FREEPOST
MID24259
Derby
DE1 2BR

Alternatively, you can submit your comments on-line at www.derby.gov.uk/local-plan-response-form.

All of the documents relating to this consultation can be found on our website at www.derby.gov.uk/yourcityyoursay or on our dedicated web page www.derby.gov.uk/part-1-consult.

Paper copies of the consultation documents, response form and guidance note are available in Derby libraries and from the Council House reception. To request a paper copy of the documents please phone 01332 64 0807 or by email derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk.

Further Information
Please contact the Spatial Planning Team at Derby City Council on 01332 640807 with any questions about this consultation, to request hard copies of the documentation or for further information. You can also email us at, derby.ldf@derby.gov.uk, or write to us using the Freepost address shown above.
Appendix 3: Conformity with the Statement of Community Involvement

The following matrix provides an indication of how the Regulation 19 consultation methods met the requirements of the Statement of Community Involvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultation Task</th>
<th>Workshop and/or Seminar</th>
<th>Contact by Letter</th>
<th>Face-to-Face Meetings</th>
<th>Email Circulars</th>
<th>Consultation aimed at hard-to-reach groups</th>
<th>Poster in libraries and other Council buildings</th>
<th>Statutory Press notice</th>
<th>Press release and/or advert</th>
<th>Website</th>
<th>Public exhibition or surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public notice in the local press</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political sign-off and member engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Centre drop-in event</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email/letters to Statutory Bodies, organisations, agents, developers and interested members of the public on the LDF database</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update DCC website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation material at Council offices and local libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posters in public locations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal correspondence through In-Touch</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation advertised through Your City Your Say</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform Neighbourhood Partnerships and Diversity Forums</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This page is left intentionally blank
We can give you this information in any other way, style or language that will help you access it. Please contact us on: 01332 64 Minicom: 01332 640666

Polish
Aby ułatwić Państwu dostęp do tych informacji, możemy je Państwu przekazać w innym formacie, stylu lub języku.
Prosimy o kontakt: 01332 Tel. tekstowy: 01332 640666

Punjabi
ਹਾਂ ਸਟਾਲਵੀ ਆਨੀ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੋਵੇ ਗ੍ਰੈਮਰ, ਕਿਸੇ ਕੀਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਲੁਧ ਲਾਗ ਹੋਵੇ ਅਂਤ ਵੋਨ ਇੱਕ ਜਿਂਕ ਹੋਵੇ, ਇਸ ਦੀ ਦੀਗ ਇੱਕ ਹਰੀਚ ਕੱਲ ਇੱਕ ਰੂਪ ਵਿਚ ਸੰਨਤਿਦਾ ਕਿਰ ਮਬਤੀ ਉੱਠੇ। ਵਿਚਕਾਰ ਕਰਵੇ ਮੋਦੇ ਮਰ ਲਾਗ ਟੈਲੀਫ਼ਨ ਨੰਬਰ 01332 ਮਿਲੀਅਨ 01332 640666 ਦੇ ਸਹਾਇਤਾ ਵਾਲੇ।

Urdu
پر شرط تو لاہو ہی کے ہی کے سے اپنے اہم ہے کہ وہ اپنی بکسی کے لئے اپنے کے سے بھی کہیں سے بھی نہیں ہے۔ 01332 640666

---

Derby City Council
The Council House Corporation Street Derby DE1 2FS
www.derby.gov.uk